



SoCAL  
EARTH

# SoCalEarth.org Community First, Climate First Project Analysis Tool



Robert Redford  
CONSERVANCY  
for Southern California Sustainability

What project are you analyzing?

Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Create your project analysis by rating each criteria along a sliding 1 - 5 scale, to the best of your ability and knowledge. Project ratings reveal how the project can improve.

- Take notes in the spaces below each factor.
- An average rating of below 3 means the project should be improved, reconsidered, changed, or discontinued.
- An average rating of 3 or above means that some aspects of a project may be considered socio-ecologically beneficial.
- Consider the detriments of project construction and hidden carbon costs as well as the long-term impacts once projects are complete.
- Each individual question can guide you toward specific areas for improvement.
- Exclude from your analysis any criteria you find irrelevant or unclear in your project context.
- Use the online project analysis tool, sign the call to action, and learn more at <https://socalearth.org/community-first-climate-first/>

## I. FOUNDATIONAL FRAMEWORKS

First, think about the project's foundational frameworks. What ways of thinking inform this project or idea?

**1. Change Paradigm:** Which type of decision-making guides this project?

*Business As Usual Thinking*

Continues a problematic, outdated, or exclusionary system without accounting for climate, community, and environmental concerns.

*Transformative Thinking*

Creates a different path, vision, and process due to climate, community, and environmental concerns.

1

2

3

4

5





### III. ECONOMICS SYSTEMS

Next, we look at the project's economic objectives and the systems that drive them. Does the project embrace sustainability and resource stewardship over traditional monetary goals that often lead to waste and inequity?

**9. Economic Objectives:** Does the project favor traditional monetary gains or a sustainable growth model?

*Conventional*

Zero-sum game with clear winners and losers, prioritization of select economic gain despite harm to ecosystems, local economies, and select communities. Continuation of limited constructs such as GDP with emphasis on continuing unquestioned, linear trajectories that value traditional components. Focuses on dependency and global trade.

1

2

3

4

5

*Sustainable*

Non-zero-sum game that creates win-win situations for ecosystems, economy, and community. Inclusive rethinking of economic values and expansion of concepts such as GDP. Emphasis on circularity, donut, regenerative economies that value previously ignored components. Focuses on self-reliance and relocalization.

**10. Clean Energy:** What is the project's approach to a just transition away from fossil fuels?

*Static*

Project ignores fossil fuel dependence or worsens it.

1

2

3

4

5

*Active*

Project actively works to reduce dependence on fossil fuels and transition away from dirty energy sources.

**11. Emissions & Waste:** How does the project handle the pollution and waste typical of infrastructure projects?

*Generates*

Generates waste that is then discarded without reference to natural systems and processes, creating problems for human communities and ecosystems.

1

2

3

4

5

*Eliminates*

Creates a closed-loop system where waste is reabsorbed, not expanded, to create benefits for human communities and ecosystems.

**12. Permeability:** How does this project approach precipitation, water accumulation, and regional water shortfalls?

*Impermeable*

Building of surfaces (rooftops and pavement) over large swaths of land where water cannot percolate. Treating water as waste and threat, takes away open space and increases heat.

*Permeable*

Maximizes utilization of permeable surfaces, open green spaces, plants that cool. Facilitates water usage, storage, and renewal as opposed to water waste.

1

2

3

4

5

#### IV. EQUITY & JUSTICE

Last let's examine how the project addresses equity and justice. With frontline communities disproportionately affected by climate challenges, it's crucial to center both community and climate.

**13. Equity:** Does the project improve equity and justice in impacted communities? Or does it worsen them?

*Inequitable*

The project creates disproportionate impacts to already impacted communities and ecosystems, furthering vulnerability.

*Equitable*

The project strives to help members of vulnerable communities and ecosystems, promoting climate resilience and equity.

1

2

3

4

5

**14. Process Accessibility:** Are the use of data and decision-making processes inclusive and transparent?

*Not Transparent*

Technical experts control data, process, and information about local decisions, including financial influences that remain undisclosed. Technical experts do not share raw data or ownership of information.

*Transparent*

Community members know where data comes from and have ownership of data produced by/about them. Data, process, and financial exchanges are transparent to communities and constituencies.

1

2

3

4

5

